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## 600W DC to DC LLC Design Using GaN FETs

## 1. Introduction

Many people still debate whether global warming is real, and, if so, whether human behavior impacts it. Inarguably, 2018 is the fourth hottest year in history; July is California's hottest month on record [1]; and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions are known to affect climate change.

The Information and Communication Technology (ICT) sector generates over $2 \%$ of the global $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions. Data centers are the fastest growing segment within ICT and account $1.4 \%$ of global electricity consumption. That percentage is expected to increase at faster rates due partly to the rapidly growing use of cloud computing and Internet services [2].

High efficiency AC to DC and DC to DC power supplies using GaN can reduce energy loss by $50 \%$, which would then reduce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ emissions. Soft-switching topologies capable of operating at high frequencies while reducing switching losses are optimal for such power supplies-with the more commonly preferred choice being the LLC resonant topology as it offers the following advantages:

- Zero-voltage Switching (ZVS), which produces high efficiency and enables the transformer size to shrink due to high frequency use.
- Limited $\mathrm{dv} / \mathrm{dt}$ and $\mathrm{di} / \mathrm{dt}$, which reduces ringing, spikes, and radiated EMI problems.


Figure 1. Schematic of half bridge LLC resonant converter

## 2. LLC Converter Description

Figure 1 shows the half bridge LLC topology including the full wave synchronous rectified circuit, where the Ls is the series resonant inductor, Lm is the magnetizing inductor, and Cr is the resonant capacitor. Q1 and Q2 are typically operating in $50 \%$ duty cycle square waveform with variable switching frequency. The series resonant converter (SRC) then behaves as if it has a resonant frequency:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{L_{s} C_{r}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To achieve ZVS at turn on, the current iL should lag Vsw, i.e. the output impedance seen from the switching node should be inductive, as shown in Figure 2. Therefore, the switching frequency $f_{s w}$ must be higher than the resonant frequency $f_{o}$. However, the drawbacks for SRC operating in $f_{s w}>f_{o}$ are non-zero-current-switching (ZCS) on the secondary side SR-MOSFETs and $<1$ voltage gain. By introducing a paralleled inductor with transformer, the inductive current (iLm) is obtained when $f_{s w}<f_{o}$, and will not translate into the secondary side so ZCS can be achieved in the same time.


Figure 2. To achieve ZVS on primary side and ZCS on secondary side, $f_{s w}<f_{o}$ and Zo should be inductive. So, Lm is introduced.


Figure 3. Typical waveform of the half bridge LLC converter at $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{s w}}<\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{o}}$

Figure 3 shows the typical waveforms of a half bridge LLC converter at $f_{s w}<f_{o}$. At t0, the current iL is negative, so Q1 is freewheeling, hence achieving soft-switching turning on. The voltage applies on the resonant tank and resonant current changes with the frequency $f_{o}$. On the other hand, the magnetizing inductor is charging. When the resonant current goes back to OA at t1, the secondary side iD2 reduces to 0 as well to achieve ZCS. Since $f_{s w}<f_{o}$, when the Q1 turns off, the magnetizing current flows through the body diode of Q2, so that during the dead time the Coss can be discharged and Vds_Q2 reduces to 0 before it turns on. The relation between magnetizing current and dead time will be discussed in the following section. The current $i_{D 2}$ resonances to 0 A before Q1 turns off, so zero current turnoff is achieved on the secondary side.

Another resonant frequency is determined by $L_{p}, L_{s}$ and $C_{r}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{02}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sqrt{\left(L_{m}+L_{s}\right) C_{r}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the load is getting heavier, the switching frequency will keep reducing to achieve higher gain, but the switching frequency $f_{s w}$ should be higher than $f_{o 2}$ to avoid hard switching turn-on.

In this application note, a $48 \mathrm{~V} / 600 \mathrm{~W}$ LLC converter is designed, and the input/output specifications are as follow:

- Input: 380Vdc nominal (320V-400V)
- Output: 48 Vdc at 12.5 A
- Switching Frequency: 170 kHz to 250 kHz


## 3. Parameters Design

### 3.1 Transformer Turns Ratio

For the 48 V output, the input voltage varies in a small voltage range $V_{i n} \in$ [320V, 400V]. Since the LLC converter has the voltage boost function, the turns ratio can be selected according to the maximum input voltage, i.e. For full waveform rectifier, $N_{p}: N_{s}=V_{\text {in }_{\max }} /\left(2 \cdot V_{o}\right)=25: 6$.

### 3.2 LLC Converter Gain Calculation (integrated transformer)

As discussed in section 2, the LLC converter operates in softswitching condition when switching frequency $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{o 2}}<\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{s w}}<$ $\boldsymbol{f}_{\boldsymbol{o}}$. The LLC converter also provides boost function in this area. Based on the fundamental harmonics analysis method (FHA), the AC equivalent circuit referred to the primary side can be drawn in Figure 4.

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{V}=\sqrt{\frac{L_{p}}{L_{p}-L_{S}}}=\sqrt{\frac{L_{n}}{L_{n}-1}}  \tag{3}\\
& R_{a c}=\frac{M_{V} 8 n^{2}}{\pi^{2}} R_{L}  \tag{4}\\
& G=\frac{V_{o}^{F}}{V_{i n}^{F}}=\frac{j \omega L_{m} / / R_{a c}}{\frac{1}{j \omega C_{r}}+j \omega L_{r}+j \omega L_{m} / / R_{a c}}  \tag{5}\\
& |G|=\frac{M_{V}}{\sqrt{\left(f_{n}-\frac{1}{f_{n}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{L_{n}}\right)^{2} Q^{2}+\left(1+\frac{1}{L_{n}}-\frac{1}{f_{n}^{2} L_{n}}\right)^{2}}} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $L_{n}=L_{p} / L_{s}, f_{n}=f_{s w} /_{f_{o}}, Q=\sqrt{\frac{L_{s}}{c_{r}}} / R_{a c}$.


Figure 4. AC Equivalent Circuit referred to primary side

From (6), the gain varies with the frequency and the load. As drawn in Fig. 5, the gain curve changes with the switching frequency. At resonant frequency, the gain is equal to $\sqrt{L_{n} /\left(L_{n}-1\right)}$, and the gain increases as the switching frequency decreases. However, when the load increases, the peak voltage gain reduces. In the green area, the converter is operating in ZVS at primary side and ZCS at secondary side, so the efficiency keeps high. When the load increases, the peak voltage gain decreases, and the converter may enter the red area where its primary side switches lose ZVS and results in hard-switching. For a proper designed converter, the red area should be avoided. To maintain the soft-switching condition and to meet the gain requirement at the minimum input voltage, the $L_{n}$ and Quality Factor $(Q)$ should be analyzed.


Figure 5. Gain curve versus frequency ( $L_{n}=5$ ). Red Area: capacitive operation range, ZCS Green Area: inductive operation range, ZVS Yellow Area: inductive operation range, ZVS

For the minimum input voltage of 320 V , the required gain is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\max }=\frac{2 V_{o}}{V_{\text {in_min }}} \cdot \frac{N_{\text {pri }}}{N_{\text {sec }}}=1.25 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering a 20\% margin for overcurrent capability, peak gain should be $>1.5$.


Figure 6. Peak Gain versus $\mathbf{Q}$ for different $L_{n}$ ?

Figure 6 plots the peak gain versus $Q$ at full load in different $L_{n}$ values. By rewriting the $L_{s}$ and $C_{r}$ as:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
C_{r}=\frac{1}{2 \pi R_{a c} f_{0} Q}  \tag{8}\\
L_{s}=\frac{R_{a c} f_{0} Q}{2 \pi f_{0}} \\
L_{p}=L_{n} L_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The resulting resonant tank parameters are:

$$
C_{r}=24.4 n F, L_{s}=26 \mu H, L_{p}=130 \mu H
$$

The voltage gain with different load can be plotted in Figure 7. The switching frequency will change from to at full load with the input voltage range [320V, 400V].


Figure 7. Voltage Gain versus frequency with different load

### 3.3 LLC voltage gain calculation (separated resonant inductor)

The transformer with integrated leakage inductance can save the external resonant inductor, hence reducing its size. However, the leakage inductance should not be considered just as a "lumped" leakage inductance seen from the primary side, but as primary and secondary leakage inductances (Figure 4) that should be considered separately in the LLC converter. The non-ideal coupling makes the gain curve not match the curve calculated using the FHA method [3], and the leakage inductance on the secondary side will result in voltage rising on the SR-MOSFET especially for high
current, low voltage applications. As a result, higher voltage rating MOSFETs must be chosen.

For the transformer with minimum leakage inductance, the real turns ratio is close to $N_{p}: N_{s}$. At resonant frequency, the LLC voltage gain is 1.05 (considering internal $L_{p}: L_{s}=10: 1$ ). The gain equation in (6) is then modified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|G|=\frac{1.05}{\sqrt{\left(f_{n}-\frac{1}{f_{n}}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{L_{n}}\right)^{2} Q^{2}+\left(1+\frac{1}{L_{n}}-\frac{1}{f_{n}^{2} L_{n}}\right)^{2}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8. Peak Gain versus $Q$ for different $L_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ for separated leakage inductance


Figure 9. Voltage Gain versus frequency with different load for separated resonant inductor

Using the same method, the peak gain for different $L_{n}$ and $Q$ is plotted in Figure 8. The resonant tank parameters are then recalculated:
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$$
C_{r}=21 n F, L_{s}=30 \mu H, L_{p}=104 \mu H
$$

The voltage gain shown in Figure 9 notes that the switching frequency range varies between $[0.7,1.13] \cdot f_{o}$ according to the different input voltage.

### 3.4 Dead time calculation

For a 600W LLC converter, the $180 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ TPH3206PS[4] GaN FETs are selected. During the dead time between high side and low side devices, the turn-off current should be able to discharge the output and stray capacitor, and the dead time should meet:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m(o n)} \cdot T_{d} \geq V_{i n}\left(2 C_{o(t r)}+C_{\text {stray }}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $I_{m(o n)}=\frac{V_{i n}}{2 L_{m}} \cdot \frac{T_{o}}{4}$, the dead time can be calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{d} \geq \frac{8 L_{m}\left(2 C_{o(t r)}+C_{s t r a y}\right)}{T_{o}}=105 \mathrm{~ns} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real dead time is set to 150 ns. Table I lists the required $I_{m(o n)} \cdot T_{d}$ with different devices. The GaN FETs outperform the best Si-MOSFETs.

Table 1. Required charge for ZVS

| Device | $R_{d s(o n)}$ | $C_{o(t r)}$ | $I_{m(o n)} \cdot T_{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TPH3206 | $180 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ | 106 pF | 85 nC |
| TPH3208[5] | $110 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ | 133 pF | 107 nC |
| Si-MOS A | $180 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ | 349 pF | 279 nC |
| Si-MOS B | $125 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ | 579 pF | 463 nC |
| Si-MOS C | $144 \mathrm{~m} \Omega$ | 402 pF | 322 nC |

### 3.5 Transformer and resonant inductor design

A set of PQ3230/3C95 core is selected for the transformer, and the turns ratio is 25:6:6. The AC flux density is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta B=\frac{V_{o}}{2 N_{S} A_{e} f_{o}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $B_{m}$ is $0.5 \Delta \mathrm{~B}$, which is 0.06 T , and the core loss can be calculated by the core loss equation provided by the vendor [6]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {core }}=V_{e} C_{m} f^{x} B^{y}\left(C_{t 2} T^{2}-C_{t 1} T+C_{t}\right) / 1000 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $V e=12500 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, for $3 \mathrm{C} 95, \mathrm{Cm}=7.47 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{x}=1.955$, $y=3.070$, Ct1 $=0.0126$, Ct2 $=0.606 \mu$. The core loss is 0.473 W at 200 kHz .

The rms current in transformer windings can be calculated by the equations (14) and (15):

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{p r i}(r m s)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\pi I_{o} T_{s}}{2 N \cdot T_{o}}\right)^{2}+I_{L m}^{2}\right] \frac{T_{o}}{T_{s}}+I_{L m}^{2}\left(1-\frac{T_{o}}{T_{s}}\right)}  \tag{14}\\
I_{s e c}(r m s)=I_{o} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2}}{16} \frac{T_{S}}{T_{0}}+\left(\frac{5}{12}-\frac{4}{\pi^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{N \cdot I_{L m}}{I_{o}}\right)^{2} \frac{T_{0}}{T_{S}}} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

For a PQ3230 core, the windows area Aw is $53 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, and .35 is chosen as the window's filling factor, so the effective Aw is $19 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. The total current in both the primary and secondary side windings is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{t o t}=I_{p r i \_r m s}+\frac{2 N_{s}}{N_{p}} I_{s e c}=8.8 \mathrm{~A} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The winding turn's factor and section area can be determined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\text {pri }}=I_{\text {pri_rms }} / I_{\text {total }}, A w_{\text {pri }}=w_{\text {pri }} \cdot A_{\text {eff }} / N_{p} \\
& w_{\text {sec }}=I_{\text {sec_rms }} / I_{\text {total }}, A w_{\text {sec }}=w_{\text {sec }} \cdot A_{e f f} / N_{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Forty strands of AWG38 litz wire is selected for the primary winding, and 120 strands of AWG 38 litz wire for the secondary. The conduction loss can be calculated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c o n d}=I_{p r i}^{2} \cdot R_{d c_{p r i}}+2 I_{s e c}^{2} \cdot R_{d c_{s e c}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $R_{d c}=N \rho \cdot M L T /{ }_{n w}\left(0.25 \pi \cdot d^{2}\right), \rho$ is the copper resistivity coefficient, MLT is the mean length of a turn, nw the strands number of the litz wire, and $d$ is the diameter of each strand of the wire. The total copper loss at full load is 4.45W.
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Figure 10. 600W, LLC design schematic

An additional winding is used to generate the auxiliary power to drive the SR-MOSFET. The magnetizing inductance 110uH is created by gapping the center pole with the leakage inductance minimized to $\sim 3 \mathrm{uH}$. The external 20 uH resonant inductor is designed by a PQ2020 core to guarantee no saturation during the start-up high inrush current.

## 4. Circuit design

The LLC circuit is shown in Page 6. A NCP1397B [7] LLC controller and NCP4304B [8] SR-MOSFET controller are selected for the control realization. An IPB108N15N3 G from Infineon is selected as the SR-MOSFET.

## 5. Experimental Results

The efficiency is measured under these conditions: the input voltage is from a 600W PFC converter, the input voltage is 385 V with 120 Hz voltage ripple. The peak efficiency is over 98\% from 230W to 420W, as shown in Figure 11. Figure 12 shows the switching node voltage and transformer current waveform on the primary side. The switching frequency is 192 kHz and the primary rms current value is 3.7 A .


Figure 11. Measured efficiency curve

In Figure 13, the burst mode at light load is achieved. The switching event is enabled every 120 ms to conserve power.


Figure 12. Voltage and current waveform at 600W load

(a)

(b)

Figure 13. Burst mode operation at OW load: (a) the switching event every 120 ms , (b) zoom-in waveform
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